Safer Spray-Foam Insulation



Jeremy Faludi Mechanical Engineering faludi@berkeley.edu Patrick GormanTina HoangChemistryEnvironmental Healthpgorman1@berkeley.edutinah@berkeley.edu

image from sprayfoam.org

Goal: Identify safer alternatives to MDI-based spray foam and create frameworks to evaluate their technical performance and potential health impacts.

Key Functional Properties

- Insulation
- Longevity
- Sprayability
- Status-quo compatibility
- Fire, mold, & pest resistance
- Material cost











SPF Health Hazards

SPF Application

⁰≈c_≈,

Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate (MDI)

xposure (ppb)



















Polymeric MDI (pMDI)







pMDI is a polymeric form of MDI with unreacted isocyanates

lower vapor pressure than MDI



- Commercially available (purifiable by size exclusion chromatography)
- Less bioavailable than MDI
- Smaller (but non-zero) likelihood of off-gassing free isocyanates



Non-isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)





Non-isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)



NIPU is the **same** polyurethane!

"cyclic carbonate" + "poly-amine" = polyurethane



Non-isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)

- Chemicals can be less hazardous
- Tested successfully with standard Graco spray reactors (Nanotech Industries)



Blocked Isocyanates









Blocked Isocyanates





Blocked Isocyanates

- Smaller chance of free-isocyanates during application
- Application would require heating of installed foam



Foamed Concrete



Foamed Concrete



 $H_2O + MgO + polyvinyl alcohol + 1\%$ barium metaborate + 1% dispersant

images from aircrete-europe.com, exteriorsoflansing.com



Foamed Concrete

- Existing product, similar application
- More stable / longer life
- Inherently fireproof
- Lower R-value
- Similar or lower cost



Cellulose Spray



Cellulose Spray



Recycled newsprint + polyvinyl alcohol + boric acid



Cellulose Spray

- Less expensive
- Easier to apply
- Less toxic when burned
- Lower insulation value







Strategy: Modify amino acid side chains for crosslinking

Commercial example: Soyad







Develop soy-based wood adhesive into a sprayable foam.



Protein-based spray foam

- adhesive form currently exists
 - soy protein naturally sourced
- upstream health concerns with precursor chemicals







Sclerotization



Sclerotization



soft \rightarrow rigid

Yamada et al. 2000. Biomacromolecules.



from flick

Ima

.com

Strategy: replace proteins with polysaccharides



liquid \rightarrow gel

Yamada et al. 2000. Biomacromolecules.



Current research:

- hydrogels
- water-resistant adhesives





pH Self Crosslinking



pH Self Crosslinking





Evaluating Alternatives





Worst 1 2 3 4 Best

* = very uncertain

UNK = unknown



Evaluation Framework – Function

- Insulation R-value: "3" = R 6-7/in.
- •Longevity / Stability: "3" = 20 30 years.
- **Sprayability:** "3" = MDI application labor cost, adhesion, cure time
- Status-Quo Compatibility: "4" = no change to ingredients, manufacturing methods, application equipment / procedures
- Fire, Mold, & Pest Resistance: "4" = better or fewer chemical additives
- Material Cost: "3" = MDI total material cost for all ingredients

Functional Scores

	Insulation R-Value	Longevity / Stability	Sprayability	Status-Quo Compatibility	Fire, Mold, & Pest Resistance	Material Cost	Overall Functional Score
MDI (current practice)	3	3	3	4	3	3	3.2
Foamed Concrete	2	4	4	3	4	3	3.4
Cellulose Spray	2	3	4	3	2	4	3.2
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3.0
Protein Cross-Linking	2 - 4	3	3	2	2 - 3	UNK	2.5 - 3.0**
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	3	3	UNK	2	3	2 - 3	2.6 - 2.8*
Blocked Isocyanates	3	3	3	2	3	2	2.7
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	1	UNK	UNK	UNK
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	1	UNK	UNK	UNK
Percent of Overall Score	15%	20%	20%	15%	10%	20%	

Functional Scores

	Insulation R-Value	Longevity / Stability	Sprayability	Status-Quo compatibility	Fire, Mold, & Pest Resistance	Material Cost	Overall Functional Score
MDI (current practice)	3	3	3	4	3	3	3.2
Foamed Concrete	2	4	4	3	4	3	3.4
Cellulose Spray	2	3	4	3	2	4	3.2
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3.0
Protein Cross-Linking	2 - 4	3	3	2	2 - 3	UNK	2.5 - 3.0**
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	3	3	UNK	2	3	2 - 3	2.6 - 2.8*
Blocked Isocyanates	3	3	3	2	3	2	2.7
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	1	UNK	UNK	UNK
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	1	UNK	UNK	UNK
Percent of Overall Score	15%	20%	20%	15%	10%	20%	

Functional Scores

	Insulation R-Value	Longevity / Stability	Sprayability	Status-Quo Compatibility	Fire, Mold, & Pest Resistance	Material Cost	Overall Functional Score
MDI (current practice)	3	3	3	4	3	3	3.2
Foamed Concrete	2	4	4	3	4	3	3.4
Cellulose Spray	2	3	4	3	2	4	3.2
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3.0
Protein Cross-Linking	2 - 4	3	3	2	2 - 3	UNK	2.5 - 3.0**
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	3	3	UNK	2	3	2 - 3	2.6 - 2.8*
Blocked Isocyanates	3	3	3	2	3	2	2.7
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	1	UNK	UNK	UNK
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	1	UNK	UNK	UNK
Percent of Overall Score	15%	20%	20%	15%	10%	20%	

Evaluation Framework – Health & Environment

- Sensitization: Induces allergic response
- Acute Toxicity: Toxic effects for <24 hours of exposure
- Carcinogenicity or Mutagenicity: Causes cancer or mutations
- Endocrine Disruption, Reproductive, or Developmental Toxicity: Disrupts hormones, reproductive or child development
- Environmental Toxicity: Toxic to fish or animals
- Persistence / Bioaccumulation: Stays in environment and/or accumulates in bodies



	1	2	3	4
Sensitization				
Acute Toxicity	very high	high	moderate	low
Carc. / Mutag.				all the second
ED, Repr. / Dev.				
Environ. Tox.	very high	high	moderate	low
Persist / Bioacc.	very high	high	moderate	low

	1	2	3	4
Sensitization	known resp.	suspected resp. / known skin	susp skin	probably not
Acute Toxicity	very high	high	moderate	low
Carc. / Mutag.	known	suspected	possible	probably not
ED, Repr. / Dev.	known	suspected	possible	probably not
Environ. Tox.	very high	high	moderate	low
Persist / Bioacc.	very high	high	moderate	low





image from photo-dictionary.com

Health & Environment Scores

	Sensitization	Acute Toxicity	Carcinogen / Mutagen	Endocrine / Reproductive / Development	Aquatic Tox / Ecotoxicity	Persistence / Bioaccum.	Overall Health & Env. Score
MDI (current practice)	1	1	2	UNK	UNK	UNK	1.2 - 2.6***
Foamed Concrete	UNK	1 – 4**	UNK	3***	1***	3 – 4*	1.7 – 3.5***
Cellulose Spray	4**	1 – 4*	4**	1 – 4*	1 – 4*	4	2.7 - 4.0***
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	1	1	UNK	3	UNK	UNK	1.4 - 2.8***
Protein Cross-Linking	2 – 3*	1**	2**	2**	1 – 4*	1**	1.6 – 1.8 *
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	1 – 3****	1 – 4***	UNK	UNK	1 – 4***	1 – 4*	1.0 - 3.8*****
Blocked Isocyanates	1**	1*	2**	UNK	4**	4**	2.0 - 2.6*
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	3**	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	4**	2.0 - 3.8****
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK
Percent of Overall Score	20%	15%	20%	20%	15%	10%	

Health & Environment Scores

	Sensitization	Acute Toxicity	Carcinogen / Mutagen	Endocrine / Reproductive / Development	quatic Tox / Ecotoxicity	Persistence / Bioaccum.	Overall Health & Env. Score
MDI (current practice)	1	1	2	UNK	UNK	UNK	1.2 - 2.6***
Foamed Concrete	UNK	1 – 4**	UNK	3***	1***	3 – 4*	1.7 – 3.5***
Cellulose Spray	4**	1 – 4*	4**	1 – 4*	1 – 4*	4	2.7 – 4.0***
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	1	1	UNK	3	UNK	UNK	1.4 - 2.8***
Protein Cross-Linking	2 – 3*	1**	2**	2**	1 – 4*	1**	1.6 – 1.8 *
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	1 – 3****	1 – 4***	UNK	UNK	1 – 4***	1 – 4*	1.0 - 3.8*****
Blocked Isocyanates	1**	1*	2**	UNK	4**	4**	2.0 - 2.6*
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	3**	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	4**	2.0 - 3.8****
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK
Percent of Overall Score	20%	15%	20%	20%	15%	10%	

Health & Environment Scores

	Sensitization	Acute Toxicity	Carcinogen / Mutagen	Endocrine / Reproductive / Development	Aquatic Tox / Ecotoxicity	Persistence / Bioaccum.	Overall Health & Env. Score
MDI (current practice)	1	1	2	UNK	UNK	UNK	1.2 - 2.6***
Foamed Concrete	UNK	1 – 4**	UNK	3***	1***	3 – 4*	1.7 – 3.5***
Cellulose Spray	4**	1 – 4*	4**	1 – 4*	1 – 4*	4	2.7 - 4.0***
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	1	1	UNK	3	UNK	UNK	1.4 - 2.8***
Protein Cross-Linking	2 – 3*	1**	2**	2**	1 – 4*	1**	1.6 – 1.8 *
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	1 – 3****	1 – 4***	UNK	UNK	1 – 4***	1 – 4*	1.0 - 3.8*****
Blocked Isocyanates	1**	1*	2**	UNK	4**	4**	2.0 - 2.6*
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	3**	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	4**	2.0 - 3.8****
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK
Percent of Overall Score	20%	15%	20%	20%	15%	10%	

Conclusion - Recommendations

	Function	Health & Env.
MDI (current practice)	3.2	1.2 – 2.6***
Foamed Concrete	3.4	1.7 – 3.5***
Cellulose Spray	3.2	2.7 – 4.0***
Polymeric MDI (pMDI)	3.0	1.4 – 2.8***
Protein Cross-Linking	2.5 - 3.0**	<mark>1.6 – 1.8</mark> *
Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane (NIPU)	2.6 - 2.8*	1.0 - 3.8*****
Blocked Isocyanates	2.7	2.0 - 2.6*
Polysaccharide Cross-Linking	UNK	2.0 – 3.8****
pH Self Cross-Linking	UNK	UNK

Conclusion – What We Hope Will Happen

- Assess alternatives more thoroughly
 - Fill gaps in health data
 - Short-term (drop-in)
 - Long-term (research)

- Refine evaluation framework
 - Quantitative metrics for function

Safer Spray-Foam Insulation

Many thanks to:

Marty Mulvihill & Meg Schwarzman, UC Berkeley Center for Green Chemistry

Will Lorenz, General Coatings Karl Palmer, CA Department of Toxic Substances Control Mark Dorfman, Biomimicry 3.8 Heather Palfrey, Center for the Polyurethanes Industry